Approved For Release 2003/03/25: CIA-RDP60-00442R000100200002-0



0301137343

OGC Has Reviewed

29 October 1956

MEMORANDUM FOR: C/Finance Division

ATTENTION

: C/Payroll and Travel Branch

SUBJECT

: Shipment of Automobile Via Foreign Vessel

REFERENCE

: Memorandum from C/Payroll and Travel Branch,

Finance Division, same subject, dated 22 October 1956

25X1A9A	requested the opinion of this
25XTA9Anfrice as to whether a	properly may be reinbursed
for charges incident to his	shipment of his privately owned automobile
in a foreign flag vessel.	

- 2. The query poses the issue of whether an automobile belonging to a government employee which properly may be transported by sea at government expense falls within the purview of Section 901 of the Marchant Marine Act of 1936 (29 Stat. 2015, 46 USC 1241 (1952)), as amended.
- 3. In terms, the Act extends only to the person and personal effects of an officer or employee of the United States traveling on official business overseas. In terms, consequently, automobiles are excluded.

LEG L 25X1

We have discussed the issue posed with the Travel Branch in the State Department (Mr. Blundin, Code 168, X-4971) and with a cleared attorney in the General Accounting Office (Mr. Barcley, Code 195, X-5419). It was State's position that Section 901 should be, and has been, construed to include the shipment of automobiles by sea carrier. Mr. Blundin imputed this construction to the General Accounting Office. The General Accounting Office stated that the matter had not been before it. However, Mr. Barcley said that, were the matter to come before GAO, they would be inclined to rule that Section 901 included automobiles, in view of the considerations that, (a) at the time of the passage of Section 901, the shipment of automobiles belonging to government employees at government expenses probably wasenot in the contemplation of the Congress, and so was not treated in the statute, and (b) the clear policy of the Merchant Marine Act is indirectly to subsidize American shipping.

Approved For Release 2003/03/25 : CIA-RDP60-00442R000100200002-0

LEGL

25X1

4. In view of (a) the derivation of the Agency's authority in the premises from similar authority in State Department legislation, (b) the Btate Department interpretation of the statute involved/ In those instances in which the use of an American Ilag vesser for bis shipment of an automobile would impair an Agency operation a foreign flag vessel may be used under the "necessity of ... mission" exception provided in Section 901. As you know, such has been the past practice of the Agency as regards see shipments involving persons and property other than automobiles. 5. On the basis of the foregoing, it is the opinion of this Office 25X1A9A that claim may not be reimbursed unless the area division determines that the use of a foreign flag vessel in his circumstances was necessary to the accomplishment of his mission on either security or operational grounds. 25X1A9A Office of General Counsel OGC:HF/ebb Distribution: Orig.&l-Addressee 1-Subject File-U.S. Shipping 1-HF Chrono 1-225 East

1-Chrono